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## Outline

> Sampling Frames

- Cellular RDD
- ABS
- Convenience Consumer Frames
- On-Line Frames
> Hybrid Designs - Mix Bag Approaches
- Increasing Feasibility
- Expanding the Universe (multi-frame)
- Increasing Efficiency
- Demographic Density
- Incorporating AuxiliaryVariables
- Expanded Capabilities

- Increasing Response Rates
- Additional Modes of Contact
- Tailored Approach


## Sampling Frames - Cellular RDD

## Land Line Ports To Wireless



## Sampling Frames - Cellular RDD

## Listed Land Line Households



## Sampling Frames - Cellular RDD



## Sampling Frames - Cellular RDD



## Sampling Frames - Cellular RDD

## ACCURACY GROUPS BY CENSUS REGIONS



Citation: Data from AAPOR 2018 Presentation: "Sample and Respondent Provided County Comparisons Among Cellular Respondents. Authored by Carol Pierannunzi, Senior Survey Methodologist CDC et all.

## Sampling Frames - Cellular RDD

- Rate Center is the basic unit of Geography for Land Line \& Cellular Thousand Blocks
- Rate Center Boundaries Updated Quarterly
- Defined by 2010 Census Blocks
- Each US Census Block assigned uniquely to a single Rate Center

- Boundaries provide more flexibility when stratifying by geography smaller than state
- Rate Center only identifies where the Cellular IK Block is "homed"
- Cellular RDD Universe = 5II,792,000


## Sampling Frames - Cellular RDD

## DEMOGRAPHIC TARGETING BY DENSITY

Rate Center Geographic Boundaries
are linked to census geography $\rightarrow$
Census-based demographic sources
are then used to generate Rate
Center specific demographic profiles
that can be used for stratification or oversampling.

- Current Year Households
- Current Year Population - Gender
- Current Year Population - Race
- Current Year Population - Age
- Current Year Household Income


## Sampling Frames - Cellular RDD

## RATE CENTER DEMOGRAPHIC DENSITY REPORT

| Rate Center ID | Primary <br> FIPS <br> Code | Total <br> Housing <br> Units | Number of <br> Cellular Ik <br> Blocks | Total <br> Population <br> $(2010)$ | \% Inclusion <br> (Population) | Pop Total | Pop NHS <br> Black |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% NHS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sampling Frames - Cellular RDD



## Sampling Frames - Cellular RDD

## Screening for Activity Cell-WINS


-Determines whether an individual telephone number is Active, Inactive or Unknown at that point in time.
-Real time database queries to various US Telephony databases.
-FCC compliant - the individual telephone numbers do not ring through to the device
$\square$ Active $\quad$ In-active $\quad$ Unknown

## Sampling Frames - Cellular RDD



## Sampling Frames - ABS

> Address based sampling is a viable sampling alternative when complete coverage of the household population is desired
> Strengths of using this method for sample surveys:

- Single Frame Approach to cover all types of HHs -Weighting calculations are simplified
- Auxiliary information is available for every address on the frame (Census data, Claritas, others)
- Very useful for sampling design/planning/allocation
- Greater control of geographic level of interest (down to Zip+4)
- Can support mixed mode survey designs from the start


## Sampling Frames - ABS

> Based on USPS CDS File of I 46 million active residential addresses
$>$ Complimented with USPS NoStat File (inactive)
> Address-frame covers over 99\% of all households
$>$ Reconstructed monthly
> Augment simplified addresses - where possible
$>$ Augment drop units - where possible
$>$ Every address geo-coded by MSG (Lat/Long)
> Append 2000 and 2010 Census Geography

## Sampling Frames - ABS

> USPS Computerized Delivery Sequence File (CDS)

- Snapshot of the official master USPS database of active mailing addresses
- All addresses are Delivery Point Verified (DPV)
- Includes all LACS converted addresses
- Meets all CASS certification requirements
- Requires no additional address hygiene processing
> USPS NoStat File
- USPS database of addresses currently not receiving mail
- Includes new/planned housing developments
- Includes vacant delivery points on rural routes
- Internal drop addresses


## Sampling Frames - ABS

## Postal Frame Make-up



- CDS
- NoStat


## Sampling Frames - ABS

## ABS frame count

|  | Address Type |
| :--- | ---: |
| CityStyle (street address) | Count |
| Rural Routes/Highway Contracts | $123,896,531$ |
| POBox | 63,824 |
| POBox (Only Way to Get Mail/OWGM) | $14,235,673$ |
| Seasonal (vacation/second homes) | $1,415,853$ |
| Educational (off-campus housing) | 821,596 |
| Vacant (long term 90 day vacancy) | 98,031 |
| Throwback (mail being forwarded to PO Box) | $2,960,792$ |
| DropPoints (multi-dwellings with no unit information - one central mail drop off) | 201,174 |
| DropUnits | 713,739 |
| DropUnitAugments | $2,013,366$ |
| CityStyleAugments | 41,438 |
| POBOXAugments | 62,731 |
| Simplified Addresses | 14,569 |

## Sampling Frames - Convenience Consumer

>Sources:

- Land line sampling frames are developed using information known from HHs that have an identified and listed landline number.
- Consumer cellular frames are based on credit/purchasing information where a secondary source is used to append cellular numbers
- Voter file frames made up land line and cellular numbers
>Geographic capability - down the Census Block
$>$ Demographic Targeting at the household and individual levels
>Updated monthly/Bi-monthly/quarterly


## Sampling Frames - Convenience Consumer

> How can these frames be utilized?

- Sample into areas down to Census Block
- Individual/household level demographic/psychographic targeting
- Disproportionate Stratified Sampling Methodologies
- Over sampling
- Appending additional modes of contact
- Capture some of the in-migration respondents that are missed in a Cellular RDD Frame for a given area


## Sampling Frames - Convenience Consumer

LISTED LAND LINE COUNTS UNIQUE PHONE NUMBER PER HOUSEHOLD $=37,900,150$


## Sampling Frames - Convenience Consumer

VOTER FILE COUNT - UNIQUE LAND LINE NUMBER PER HOUSEHOLD = 27,266,926


## Sampling Frames - Convenience Consumer

CONSUMER CELLULAR - ONE PER HOUSEHOLD = 74,820,165


## Sampling Frames - Convenience Consumer

CONSUMER CELLULAR - ALL PER HOUSEHOLD = 145,192,009


## Sampling Frames - On-line

> Non-Probability Recruited On-line Panels: Unequal and unknown chance of selection

- Cheaper and faster
- Numerous panel providers
- Specialty Panels
- Business (B2B)
- Ethnic
- Ailments
- Medical Professionals
- Millennials
- Teens
- Tradesmen
- Gamers


## Sampling Frames - On-line

> Probability Recruited On-line Panels: Equal and known chance of selection

- Sample quality and faster then phone or mail
- Not many panel providers
- Knowledge Panel
- Amerispeak
- Others you need to run the whole study through them or for internal use only (i.e. Gallup Panel or American Trends Panel)
- Very expensive to recruit
- Limited specialty panels


## Increasing Feasibility

>Sampling from multiple sample frames to increase feasibility

- Supplementing on-line surveys with off-line line frames:
- Small areas where there is insufficient sample
- Hard to reach populations
- Expanded universe
- Supplementing off-line frames with on-line frames:
- Increase the number of younger respondents or sub populations
- Reduce data collection cost
- Shorten field time


## Increasing Feasibility

Developing a technique to get "representative like sample" from a nonprobability panels utilizing a high quality probability dataset as a matching reference and matching based on demographic, psychographic and behavioral variables. The completed surveys will then be weighted producing a pseudo probability on-line sample


## Increasing Feasibility
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## Increasing Feasibility

D Developing a technique to get "representative like sample" from a nonprobability panels utilizing a high quality probability dataset as a matching reference and matching based on demographic, psychographic and behavioral variables. The completed surveys will then be weighted producing a pseudo probability on-line sample


## Increasing Efficiency - Demographic Density

> Public Data Sources

- CENSUS 2010 SFI (Summary File)
- Geography is a perfect fit at the Block level
- Estimates are now dated
- Not very many variable options other than Total Pop, HHs and HUs.
- Claritas Current Year (2018)
- Updated Yearly
- More variables are available
- CENSUS ACS 5 Year (2012-2016 released soon)
- Updated Yearly
- Has the most \# of variables of all sources
- Can provide more variables for an age I8+ Universe (including educational attainment)


## Increasing Efficiency - Demographic Density

TITLE: Population 5+: Speak Spanish at Home
Source: Claritas 2018.1
Geography: Census Block Groups

|  |  | Population Age 5+ |  | Population 5+: Speak Spanish at Home |  | Incidence |  | Coverage | Total Households |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent: | Geography: | Individual | Cumulative | Individual | Cumulative | Individual | Cumulative |  | Individual | Cumulative |
| 100_100 | 9 | 369 | 369 | 369 | 369 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 126 | 126 |
| 095_100 | 291 | 588,897 | 589,266 | 568,463 | 568,832 | 96.5 | 96.5 | 1.4 | 178,864 | 178,990 |
| 090_095 | 842 | 1,441,434 | 2,030,700 | 1,330,567 | 1,899,399 | 92.3 | 93.5 | 4.7 | 427,377 | 606,367 |
| 085_090 | 904 | 1,484,588 | 3,515,288 | 1,299,852 | 3,199,251 | 87.6 | 91.0 | 7.9 | 439,550 | 1,045,917 |
| 080_085 | 1,039 | 1,754,177 | 5,269,465 | 1,444,940 | 4,644,191 | 82.4 | 88.1 | 11.4 | 520,500 | 1,566,417 |
| 075_080 | 1,188 | 1,873,907 | 7,143,372 | 1,452,537 | 6,096,728 | 77.5 | 85.3 | 15.0 | 567,685 | 2,134,102 |
| 070_075 | 1,343 | 2,091,970 | 9,235,342 | 1,514,842 | 7,611,570 | 72.4 | 82.4 | 18.7 | 638,974 | 2,773,076 |
| 065_070 | 1,733 | 2,690,335 | 11,925,677 | 1,816,905 | 9,428,475 | 67.5 | 79.1 | 23.2 | 850,554 | 3,623,630 |
| 060_065 | 1,966 | 3,047,219 | 14,972,896 | 1,905,169 | 11,333,644 | 62.5 | 75.7 | 27.8 | 982,492 | 4,606,122 |
| 055_060 | 2,255 | 3,408,932 | 18,381,828 | 1,959,351 | 13,292,995 | 57.5 | 72.3 | 32.6 | 1,141,609 | 5,747,731 |
| 050_055 | 2,413 | 3,680,200 | 22,062,028 | 1,931,899 | 15,224,894 | 52.5 | 69.0 | 37.4 | 1,263,550 | 7,011,281 |
| 045_050 | 2,731 | 4,153,979 | 26,216,007 | 1,969,643 | 17,194,537 | 47.4 | 65.6 | 42.2 | 1,438,280 | 8,449,561 |
| 040_045 | 2,982 | 4,596,154 | 30,812,161 | 1,952,255 | 19,146,792 | 42.5 | 62.1 | 47.0 | 1,643,061 | 10,092,622 |
| 035_040 | 3,601 | 5,684,110 | 36,496,271 | 2,124,929 | 21,271,721 | 37.4 | 58.3 | 52.2 | 2,097,246 | 12,189,868 |
| 030_035 | 4,244 | 6,475,885 | 42,972,156 | 2,095,356 | 23,367,077 | 32.4 | 54.4 | 57.4 | 2,410,652 | 14,600,520 |
| 025_030 | 5,437 | 8,339,153 | 51,311,309 | 2,286,885 | 25,653,962 | 27.4 | 50.0 | 63.0 | 3,203,961 | 17,804,481 |
| 020_025 | 7,508 | 11,454,527 | 62,765,836 | 2,565,806 | 28,219,768 | 22.4 | 45.0 | 69.3 | 4,459,694 | 22,264,175 |
| 015_020 | 10,624 | 16,253,066 | 79,018,902 | 2,810,259 | 31,030,027 | 17.3 | 39.3 | 76.2 | 6,497,935 | 28,762,110 |
| 010_015 | 17,116 | 25,871,249 | 104,890,151 | 3,176,643 | 34,206,670 | 12.3 | 32.6 | 84.0 | 10,554,490 | 39,316,600 |
| 005_010 | 34,057 | 50,146,566 | 155,036,717 | 3,576,412 | 37,783,082 | 7.1 | 24.4 | 92.8 | 20,832,177 | 60,148,777 |
| 000_005 | 108,146 | 144,737,201 | 299,773,918 | 2,937,074 | 40,720,156 | 2.0 | 13.6 | 100.0 | 60,882,835 | 121,031,612 |
| 000_000 | 6,753 | 6,746,123 | 306,520,041 | 0 | 40,720,156 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 100.0 | 2,911,348 | 123,942,960 |

## Increasing Efficiency - Auxiliary Variables

$>$ Basic Demographics

- Head of Household name
- Telephone number
- Age/Gender
- Surname
- Income
- Ethnicity
- Education
- Own/Rent
- Presence of Children

- Marital Status
- Own/Rent


## Increasing Efficiency - Auxiliary Variables

$>$ Income

| Income | Codes |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{A}=\$ 1,000-\$ 14,999$ |
|  | $\mathrm{~B}=\$ 15,000-\$ 24,999$ |
|  | $\mathrm{C}=\$ 25,000-\$ 34,999$ |
|  | $\mathrm{D}=\$ 35,000-\$ 49,999$ |
|  | $\mathrm{E}=\$ 50,000-\$ 74,999$ |
|  | $\mathrm{~F}=\$ 75,000-\$ 99,999$ |
|  | $\mathrm{G}=\$ 100,000-\$ 124,999$ |
|  | $\mathrm{H}=125,000-\$ 149,999$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}=\$ 150,000-\$ 174,999$ |
|  | $\mathrm{~J}=175,000-\$ 199,999$ |
|  | $\mathrm{~K}=\$ 200,000-\$ 249,999$ |
|  | $\mathrm{~L}=\$ 250,000+$ |
|  | $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{Unknown}$ |
|  |  |

## Increasing Efficiency - Auxiliary Variables

> Education

| Education | I = High School Diploma |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 2 = Some College |
|  | 3 = Bachelor Degree |
|  | 4 = Graduate Degree |
|  | 5 = Less Than High School Diploma |

## Increasing Efficiency - Auxiliary Variables

$>$ Ethnicity

| Ethnicity | I = African | $18=$ Jewish |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2=$ African American | $19=$ Korean |
|  | $3=$ Asian Other | $20=$ Middle Eastern |
|  | 4 = Chinese | $21=$ Miscellaneous Other |
|  | $5=$ Czech | $22=$ Native American |
|  | $6=$ Dutch | $23=$ Polish |
|  | 7 = Eastern European | $24=$ Polynesian |
|  | $8=$ English | $25=$ Portuguese |
|  | $9=$ French | $26=$ Russian |
|  | $10=$ German | $27=$ Scandinavian |
|  | $11=$ Greek | $28=$ Scotch |
|  | $12=$ Hawaiian | $29=$ Swiss |
|  | $13=$ Hispanic | $30=$ Ukrainian |
|  | $14=$ Indonesian | $32=$ Vietnamese |
|  | $15=$ Irish | $33=$ Western European |
|  | $16=$ Italian | $31=$ Unknown |
|  | $17=$ Japanese |  |

## Increasing Efficiency - Auxiliary Variables



## Increasing Efficiency - Auxiliary Variables

## ABS to Listed Land Line Match Rates



## Increasing Efficiency - Auxiliary Variables

> Multiple points in time a household or individual variable is present the higher likelihood of being correct


## Increasing Efficiency - Auxiliary Variables

Higher likelihood HOH is a female aged 35


HOH Female 35

HOH Female 35

## Increasing Efficiency - Auxiliary Variables

$>$ Flagging multiple people in the household that meet your target


## Increasing Efficiency - Auxiliary Variables

## ADDITIONAL VARIABLES

- HH is Phone Objector
- HH length of residence
- Number of adults in HH
- HH contributes to charities
- HH has premium credit card
- HH has interest in reading
- HH has computer and peripherals
- Marital status of Member I
- Gender of Member I known
- Religion of Member I Protestant
- Educational attainment of Member I known
- Occupational group of Member I
- European Ethnicity of Member I
- Member I owns business
- Multi-buyer HH


## Increasing Efficiency - Auxiliary Variables

## ADDITIONAL VARIABLES

- Bivariate examples of how these variables relate to Response/ Nonresponse in the 2018 NCS, and its overall AAPOR RRI for completion/consent of $27 \%$
- 47\% of Premium credit card HHs completed/consented
- 45\% of Cat Enthusiast HHs completed/consented
- 44\% of Contributor to charities HHs completed/consented
- 43\% of HHs where Member I owns a business completed/consented
- 39\% of Higher status occupation or Retired completed/consented
- $39 \%$ of Gifts/Gadgets buyer completed/consented
- 38\% of HHs where Educational of Member I is known completed/consented
- $37 \%$ of HHs with General Merchandise buyer completed/consented
- $34 \%$ of HH with Interest in Reading completed/consented
- $33 \%$ of Phone Objector HHs completed/consented

Citation: Data from BigSurv 2018 Presentation, Barcelona Spain: "Investigating the Value of Appending New Types
of Big Data to Address-based Survey Frames and Samples" . Authored by by Paul J. Lavrakas et all.

## Increasing Efficiency - Auxiliary Variables



## Increasing Efficiency - Auxiliary Variables



## Increasing Efficiency - Expanded Capabilities

$>$ Ability to implement a disproportionate stratified sampling design:

- A cost-effective allocation plan that reduces screening costs
- Keeping the design effect in an acceptable range (I-2)
- Ensuring the coverage of hard-to-reach population within each stratum.

Hard to Reach Target Populations


VS.


## Increasing Efficiency - Expanded Capabilities

## STRATIFIED CELL RDD METHODOLOGY (S-RDD)

- Stratify the RDD frame into 4 distinct strata
- Identify some of the in-migration
- Identify cellular numbers that are on the commercial databases
- Identify the cellular numbers not in the area

|  | Miami-Dade/Broward County |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stratum I | $1,681,700$ | In RDD Frame; in Consumer Cell; in Target Geography |
| Stratum 2 | $5,275,283$ | In RDD Frame; Not in Consumer Cell (unlisted) |
| Stratum 3 | 312,146 | Not in RDD Frame; In Consumer Cell; In Target Geography |
| Stratum 4 | 253,017 | In RDD Frame; in Consumer Cell; outside Target Geography |

## Increasing Efficiency - Expanded Capabilities

## TARGETED ABS METHODOLOGY (T-ABS)

$>$ T-ABS is a disproportionate stratified sampling design that employs a cost effective optimal allocation plan that reduces screening costs
$>$ Calculated design effects can be used for quality control by keeping them within the acceptable range of I-2
$>$ It's a single frame approach that assigns the entire population into one of the mutually exclusive strata
$>$ Ensures the coverage of hard-to-reach population within each stratum.

## Increasing Efficiency - Expanded Capabilities

DSS based on Auxiliary Variables
Over Generate a file of addresses from the ABS Frame (dependent on the estimated match rate of the demographic variable)

Match the addresses against the commercial databases to flag households that meet the demographic criteria of interest

Stratify into mutually exclusive strata: Targeted vs. Remainder

Disproportionately sample from each by over sampling the targeted stratum and under sampling the remainder stratum

## Increasing Efficiency - Expanded Capabilities

Example I: Utilizing Auxiliary Variables for ABS

- Aiming to achieve IO00 homeowner Completes in San Francisco
- Excluded Vacant, Seasonal, Educational and Traditional PO Boxes
- Pulled all available addresses and ran them against all and the commercial sources to flag for homeowners:
- Total households $=370,894$
- Total households flagged as homeowners = 91,950


## Increasing Efficiency - Expanded Capabilities

Table 1

| Simple Random Sample - Number of Contacts (35\% Owners Overall) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Stratum | Contacts |
| San Francisco County | 2,824 |
| Total sample | $\mathbf{2 , 8 2 4}$ |
| Design effect | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |

## Table 2

Targeted ABS - Number of Contacts

| Stratum | Optimal Allocation 1 | Optimal Allocation 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Owner | 861 | 900 |
| Remainder | 982 | 707 |
| Total sample | $\mathbf{1 , 8 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 0 7}$ |
| Design effect | $\mathbf{1 . 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 0}$ |

## Table 3

Targeted ABS - Expected Number of Homeowners

| Stratum | Optimal Allocation 1 | Optimal Allocation 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Owner | 861 | 900 |
| Remainder | 139 | 100 |
| Total target sample | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Design effect | $\mathbf{1 . 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 0}$ |

## Increasing Efficiency - Expanded Capabilities

Table 1: SRS

| Without Stratification: Owners |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Record Type | Completes | Response Rate | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Eligibility } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bad } \\ \text { Sample } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yield Rate | Needed Sample (completes/yield rate) |
| Has phone | 400 | 40\% | 35\% | 10\% | 13\% | 3,175 |
| No phone | 600 | 10\% | 35\% | 10\% | 3\% | 19,048 |
| Total | 1000 | 22\% | 35\% | 10\% | 7\% | 22,222 |
| Total Sample $=22,222$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 2: T-ABS

| Stratum 1: Owners |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Record Type | Completes | Response Rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eligibility } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bad } \\ \text { Sample } \end{gathered}$ | Yield Rate | Needed Sample (completes/yield rate) |
| Has phone | 360 | 40\% | 70\% | 10\% | 25\% | 1,429 |
| No phone | 540 | 10\% | 70\% | 10\% | 6\% | 8,571 |
| Total | 900 | 22\% | 70\% | 10\% | 14\% | 10,000 |
| Stratum 2: Remainder |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Record Type | Completes | Response Rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eligibility } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Bad Sample | Yield Rate | Needed Sample (completes/yield rate) |
| Has phone | 40 | 40\% | 14\% | 10\% | 5\% | 794 |
| No phone | 60 | 10\% | 14\% | 10\% | 1\% | 4,762 |
| Total | 100 | 22\% | 14\% | 10\% | 3\% | 5,556 |
| Total Sample $=15,556$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Increasing Efficiency - Expanded Capabilities

## DSS based on Demographic Density

Run a Density Report based on census geography for the desired demographic

## Impute the cut-of points for the incidence

 and coverage for the desired demographicStratify into mutually exclusive strata: Targeted vs. Remainder

Disproportionately sample from each by over sampling the targeted stratum and under sampling the remainder stratum

## Increasing Efficiency - Expanded Capabilities

>Example 2: Utilizing Demographic Density for Cellular RDD

- Aiming to achieve 1000 Hispanic Completes in San Francisco
- Ran a density report for percent Hispanic based on Census

Block Groups and stratified into three strata based on percent Hispanic:

| Total Pop | Hispanic | Incidence | Coverage | Density Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 236,461 | 76,974 | $33 \%$ | $56 \%$ | High |
| 224,170 | 31,513 | $14 \%$ | $23 \%$ | Medium |
| 424,317 | 28,078 | $7 \%$ | $21 \%$ | Low |
| 884,948 | 136,565 |  | $100 \%$ |  |

## Increasing Efficiency - Expanded Capabilities

## Table 1

| Simple Random Sampling - Number of Contacts (16\% Hispanic Overall) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Stratum | Contacts |  |
| San Francisco County | 6,250 |  |
| Total sample | $\mathbf{6 , 2 5 0}$ |  |
| Design effect | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |  |

Table 2

| Targeted ABS - Number of Contacts |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stratum |  |  |  |
|  | Optimal Allocation 1 | Optimal Allocation 2 | Optimal Allocation 3 |
| Hispanic - High (33\%) | 2,148 | 2,305 | 2,305 |
| Hispanic - Medium (14\%) | 1,335 | 1,067 | $\mathbf{1 , 4 2 5}$ |
| Hispanic - Low (7\%) | 1,734 | 1,511 | $\mathbf{7 5 6}$ |
| Total sample | $\mathbf{5 , 2 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 8 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 8 6}$ |
| Design effect | $\mathbf{1 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 1}$ |

Table 3

| Targeted ABS - Expected Number of Hispanics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stratum | Optimal Allocation 1 | Optimal Allocation 2 | Optimal Allocation 3 |
| Hispanic - High (33\%) | 699 | 750 | 750 |
| Hispanic - Medium (14\%) | 187 | 150 | 200 |
| Hispanic - Low (7\%) | 114 | 100 | 50 |
| Total target sample | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Design effect | $\mathbf{1 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 1}$ |

## Increasing Efficiency - Expanded Capabilities

Table 1: SRS

| Without Stratification: Hispanics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Record Type | Completes | Response Rate | Eligibility Rate | Bad Sample | Yield Rate | Needed Sample (completes/yield rate) |
| Has phone | 400 | 40\% | 16\% | 10\% | 6\% | 6,944 |
| No phone | 600 | 10\% | 16\% | 10\% | 1\% | 41,667 |
| Total | 1000 | 22\% | 16\% | 10\% | 3\% | 48,611 |

Total Sample $=48,611$

## Table 2: T-ABS

| Stratum 1: High Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  | Needed Sample (completes/yield rate) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Completes | Response Rate | Eligibility Rate | Bad Sample | Yield Rate |  |
| Has phone | 300 | 40\% | 33\% | 10\% | 12\% | 2,560 |
| No phone | 450 | 10\% | 33\% | 10\% | 3\% | 15,361 |
| Total | 750 | 22\% | 33\% | 10\% | 6\% | 17,921 |
| Stratum 2: Medium Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Record Type | Completes | Response Rate | Eligibility Rate | Bad Sample | Yield Rate | Needed Sample (completes/yield rate) |
| Has phone | 80 | 40\% | 14\% | 10\% | 5\% | 1,581 |
| No phone | 120 | 10\% | 14\% | 10\% | 1\% | 9,524 |
| Total | 200 | 22\% | 14\% | 10\% | 3\% | 11,104 |
| Stratum 3: Low Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Record Type | Completes | Response | Eligibility Rate | Bad Sample | Yield Rate | Needed Sample (completes/yield rate) |
| Has phone | 20 | 40\% | 7\% | 10\% | 2\% | 839 |
| No phone | 30 | 10\% | 7\% | 10\% | 1\% | 4,762 |
| Total | 50 | 22\% | 7\% | 10\% | 1\% | 5,601 |

Total Sample $=34,627$

## RR - Additional Modes of Contact

$>$ Append Phones Numbers (land line/cellular) - 50\%-60\% match rate
-Append Mailing Address

- Land line - 30\%-40\% match rate
- Cellular - $15 \%-25 \%$ match rate
>Append E-mail Addresses - I0\%-20\% match rate


## RR - Additional Modes of Contact

## Matching Levels (Exact or Inexact)

I. Individual Level
a. First Name
b. Last Name
c. Address
2. Household Level
a. Last Name
b. Address
3. Address Level
a. Address

## RR - Additional Modes of Contact

## Matching Levels (Exact or Inexact)

I. Individual Level
a. First Name
b. Last Name
c. Address
2. Household Level
a. Last Name
b. Address
3. Address Level
a. Address

## Matching Specifications

- Exact Matching
- Fuzzy Matching
- One unique email per
- Multiple emails per
- Pinging for bounce backs
- Permission Pass


## RR - Tailored Approach

$>$ Sequential data collection (least to most expensive)
Language specific
$>$ Varying rates of incentive based on response propensity
>Mode preference based on demographic/psychographic characteristics
$>$ Pre-notification letters

Marketing
Systems
Group

# THANK YOU! 

Ashley H. Hyon
Ahyon@m-s-g.com
610-994-8321(O)
215-870-3338 (C)

